REMARKS

The examiner has objected to claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9 and 12 because of some informalities. These claims have now been corrected.

The examiner has rejected claim 1-3, 5-7 and 9-11 under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being obvious over Stoot in view of Huang.

Claims 4, 8 and 12 have been indicated to be allowable. Claims 1, 5 and 9 have now been amended to include all the limitation of the claims indicated to be allowable by the examiner.

By this Amendment it is believed that the application has now been placed in condition for allowance. An early notice to such effect is accordingly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dorian B. Kennedy Req. No. 36,840

BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC Suite 900 Five Concourse Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30328 (678) 406-8700

Docket No. 2170566-00007